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Abstract

Although the histone methyltransferase EZH2 and its product H3K27me3 are well studied in cancer, little is known about their role and potential as therapeutic targets in endometriosis. We have previously reported that endometriotic lesions are characterized by global enrichment of H3K27me3. Therefore, we aimed to 1) characterize the expression levels of EZH2 in endometriotic tissues, 2) assess H3K27me3 enrichment in candidate genes promoter regions, and 3) determine if pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 impacts migration, proliferation, and invasion of endometriotic cells. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of an endometriosis-focused tissue microarray (TMA) was used to assess the EZH2 protein levels in tissues. Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-qPCR was conducted to assess enrichment of H3K27me3 in candidate gene promoter regions in tissues. Immunofluorescence (IF) was performed to assess the effect of an EZH2-specific pharmacologic inhibitor on H3K27me3 global enrichment in cells lines. To measure effects of the inhibitor in migration, proliferation, and invasion in vitro we used Scratch, BrdU, and Matrigel assays, respectively. Endometriotic lesions had significantly higher EZH2α nuclear immunostaining levels compared to eutopic endometrium from patients (glands, stroma) and controls (glands). H3K27me3 was enriched within promoter regions of candidate genes in some but not all of the endometriotic lesions. Inhibition of EZH2 reduced H3K27me3 levels in the endometriotic cells specifically, and also reduced migration, proliferation but not invasion of endometriotic epithelial cells (12Z). These findings support future pre-clinical studies to determine in vivo efficacy of EZH2 inhibitors as promising non-hormonal treatments for endometriosis, still an incurable gynecological disease.
Introduction

Endometriosis, an estrogen-dependent and progesterone-resistant gynecological disease, is characterized by the presence of functional endometrial-like tissue (e.g., glands and stroma) outside the uterine cavity [1]. The main clinical manifestations of women with endometriosis include dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, chronic pelvic pain, and often infertility, which negatively impact their quality of life (QoL) [2, 3]. Several theories have been proposed to explain how endometriosis develops [4], which would require the activation of cellular processes related to survival, adhesion, invasion, and angiogenesis [5]. Epigenetics provide a unifying theory that can integrate the diversity of evidence regarding the etiology of endometriosis, via its capacity to modulate expression of selected genes (reviewed in [6]). By triggering multiple cellular behaviors commonly observed in endometriosis, epigenetic mechanisms have been proposed as attractive targets for the development of potential non-hormonal approaches for the treatment of this gynecological condition.

Histone methylation is one of the key epigenetic modifications that play a role in distinct cellular processes via modulation of the chromatin structure and transcriptional activity [7]. This mechanism is known to work alone or in combination with DNA methylation to influence gene transcription and thus determine the cellular phenome [8]. We and others have previously shown that endometriotic lesions are characterized by hypermethylation of H3K4, H3K9, and H3K27 [9], and by high positive nuclei immunostaining of tri-methylated H3K27 or H3K27me3 [10, 11]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that “epidrugs” that target DNA methylation and histone deacetylation [e.g., DNA methyltransferases (DNMT’s) and histone deacetylases (HDAC’s), respectively] block cellular processes and behaviors characteristic of endometriosis [12-16]. However, due to non-target genomic effects and side effects seen in clinical studies for cancer, such therapies may not be considered as safe and...
specific therapeutic options for endometriosis [17]. Other epigenetic mechanisms such as histone methylation/demethylation are gaining increased attention as they could represent safer options since they specifically inhibit histone methyltransferases (HMT’s) or histone demethylases (HDM’s) that have highly exclusive gene targets [18-20].

EZH2, the catalytic subunit of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PCR2), is the HMT responsible for setting the addition of three methyl groups (me3) to H3K27, a repressive histone mark, via a S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM) direct mechanism [21]. Thus, the mechanism of action of EZH2 can be inhibited by SAM competitive inhibitors such as the recently discovered pyridine-indazole scaffold molecule, GSK343 [22]. Pharmacological evidence demonstrated that GSK343 is highly selective against EZH2 compared to other HMTs [22]. The best evidence for the efficacy and effects of treatment with GSK343 derives from the cancer research field. In vitro and in vivo studies have shown that this HMT inhibitor (HMTi) significantly decreases the invasive, proliferative and angiogenic capacities of cancer cells, and increases the expression of pro-apoptotic related genes [23, 24]. In addition, GSK343 induced autophagy and increased drug sensitivity [25]. Importantly, treatment of cancer cells with GSK343 was shown to change only 2% of the transcriptome [26], preferentially regulating a subset of genes involved in immune response and inflammation, in a cancer-specific manner [27]. This suggests that treatment with GSK343 will not cause substantial side effects since it does not lead to broad, unspecific changes in gene expression, although this needs to be experimentally tested.

The present study was designed to i) elucidate the expression profile of EZH2 in endometriotic and control tissues, and ii) unravel the molecular and cellular mechanisms related to the setting of
H3K27me3 in endometriosis by assessing the *in vitro* effects of GSK343, a specific inhibitor of EZH2. These studies are of great translational value given that EZH2 inhibitors are drugs that are now in Phase I/II clinical trials for cancer, and they could potentially fill the void in non-hormonal therapeutic alternatives for the treatment of endometriosis, still an enigmatic and incapacitating disease.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics**

Protocols involving tissue collection were approved by the PHSU-PRI, UNC-Chapel Hill, and Greenville Health System SC IRB Committees. Participants who donated fresh tissue for research signed a consent form and completed a demographical and clinical survey. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded blocks used for construction of the TMA were obtained in a de-identified fashion under an IRB approved protocol.

**Immunohistochemistry of Human Endometrial and Endometriotic Samples on a Tissue Microarray (TMA)**

A total of 164 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded endometrium and endometriotic tissues were used to construct a TMA at Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL. All the biopsies were evaluated by a pathologist to confirm the diagnosis of endometriosis. The total number of cores in the TMA were 34 peritoneal endometriosis, 29 ovarian endometriosis, 16 fallopian endometriosis, 7 gastrointestinal (GI) endometriosis, 4 skin endometriosis, 38 secretory phase endometria, 16 control proliferative phase endometrium, 20 control secretory phase endometrium, and 34 eutopic endometrium of women with endometriosis (14 in proliferative phase and 20 in secretory phase). Control endometria were obtained
from patients with benign gynecological conditions different to endometriosis. Immunostaining of EZH2 was conducted following standard protocols. Briefly, 10μm sections of the TMA block were cut for immunostaining. After paraffin removal and dehydration, antigen unmasking was performed. Peroxidase blocking was conducted and the TMA was incubated with the primary antibody to EZH2 alpha isoform (1:50) (kindly donated by Dr. Raúl Urrutia) at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with streptavidin-labeled secondary antibody following the manufacturer’s protocol (DAKO, Carpinteria, California). Prior to IHC, the specificity of the primary antibody as well as the working dilution were tested in neuroblastoma tissue samples. As a negative control, we used normal pre-immune control IgG as primary antibody (Alpha Diagnostics, San Antonio, TX) to evaluate non-specific staining in the tissue samples. For staining and counterstaining of the TMA, DAB and hematoxylin (Fischer Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts) were used, respectively. The stained TMA slide was mounted and visualized at 20, 40, and 100x magnification on an inverted microscope with an Olympus 35 mm camera (Nikon, Japan). EZH2 immunostaining intensity analysis of the selected areas (e.g., glands and stroma) was performed by three independent scorers using an intensity scale (2=strong, 1=weak, and 0=no staining) in a blinded fashion [28, 29].

Tissue Collection

Fresh tissues (endometriotic lesions [n =13] and eutopic endometrium from healthy controls [n=12]) were obtained during surgery and immediately frozen at -80°C after collection. Cases were defined as women with surgically diagnosed endometriosis and healthy controls were obtained from women without any gynecologic conditions (kindly provided by Dr. Steven Young, University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill and Dr. Bruce Lessey, Greenville Health System, Greenville South Carolina). Disease severity was determined by collaborating gynecologists using the revised American
Fertility Society (rAFS). The tissues were analyzed by histopathology to confirm endometriosis, defined as the presence of endometrial glands and stroma, and to date the menstrual cycle phase according to Noyes [19]. Demographic profiles of endometriotic lesions and endometrial samples for Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments in the study are summarized in Table 1.

**Tissue Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) - Quantitative PCR (qPCR).**

ChIP assays were conducted using the Chroma Flash High-Sensitivity ChIP Kit (Epigentek, New York, NY) following standard protocols. Briefly, the endometriotic lesions (n=13) and endometrial samples (n=12) were weighted and minced into small fragments using a scalpel. Tissues were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde followed by incubation with 1.25M glycine and homogenized using the Bullet Blender Homogenizer. The disaggregated tissue pellet was then re-suspended in ChIP Buffer followed by sonication to shear the DNA. Samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-H3K27me3 (Epigentek, NY, NY) (1ug/well) and non-immune IgG (1ug/well) antibodies, previously cross-linked to a 96-well strip. Immune-complexes and input DNA controls were incubated with RNase A at 42°C for 30 minutes followed by incubation with proteinase K at 60°C for 45 minutes for reversal of the cross-linking reaction. Finally, the samples were incubated with DNA binding solution (DBS) at 95°C for 15 minutes followed by a wash with 90% ethanol and DNA Enhancer Elution Buffer (DEB) to elute the purified DNA.
Primers specific for the promoter regions of candidate genes for endometriosis, selected based on their well-established dysregulation in this condition [6, 30], were designed using Primer 3 (v.0.4.0) software followed by promoter sequence analysis using the BLAST/NCBI program of GenBank (Table 2). Promoter sequences were selected based on bioinformatic analysis of histone enrichment (H3K27me3) using University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser (www.genome.ucsc.edu). H3K27me3 enrichment at promoter regions were determined by ChIP-qPCR with SYBR Green Master Mix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California) using the Real Plex iCycler System (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY). The amplification parameters were empirically determined for each primer pair, followed by a melt curve analysis using the Real Plex default setting. Relative fold enrichment was calculated relative to IgG using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

**Human Endometrial and Endometriotic Cell Cultures and Drug Treatments**

The human endometrial cells (HESC), human non-endometriotic epithelial cells EEC [recently shown by DNA fingerprinting to be related to the breast cancer epithelial cell line MCF-7 [31]], and human endometriotic epithelial cells (12Z) (gifts from Dr. A. Fazleabas and Dr. A. Starzinski, respectively) were used for the experiments described herein [20]. The HESC cells were cultured in phenol-red free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS Charcoal Dextran (steroid hormone depleted FCS), HEPES 1%, 1% ITS (insulin, transferrin, selenious acid), puromycin (500ng/mL) and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic. The EEC/MCF-7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM/F12) Nutrient Mixture supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% antibiotic/antimycotic, 160 ng/mL of bovine insulin. The 12Z cells were grown in DMEM/F12 with phenol red complete media supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% antibiotic/antimycotic, and 1% of...
sodium pyruvate. Cells were maintained at 37°C in humidified incubator and 5% CO₂. Cells were treated with either GSK343 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or DMSO (0.1%) as a control vehicle for the downstream assays described below.

Immunofluorescence Staining

The enrichment levels of H3K27me3 in the endometriotic and non-endometriotic cell lines were determined by immunofluorescence (IF). Briefly, treatment either with GSK343 (1μM, 10 μM, and 20 μM), 0.1% DMSO (vehicle control) or media only (basal or referent) was performed for 24 hrs and 48 hrs. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 4°C overnight followed by permeabilization with 0.5% Triton-X 100 in PBS and further incubation with blocking buffer (fresh-filtered 2%BSA in PBS). Then, the cells were incubated with primary antibody to H3K27me3 (1:1600) (Cell Signaling C36B11 Cat No. 9733) followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor 555 goat-anti rabbit IgG secondary antibody (2mg/mL) (Life Technologies Cat No. A21428). For counterstaining, the cells were incubated with DAPI (NucBlue Fixed Cell Stain Ready Probe Reagent for Light Technologies Cat No. R37606). As a negative control, cells were incubated with PBS (instead of primary antibody) and treated under the same experimental conditions as previously described. Images were acquired with Evos Immunofluorescence microscope (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) using two different excitation filters: RFP (530nm excitation, 593nm emission) for Alexa-Fluor555 conjugated secondary antibody and DAPI (360nm excitation, 447nm emission) for DAPI reagent. Nuclear H3K27me3 fluorescence intensity was quantified using Image J software (NIH). Three images per well were taken immediately after staining using the same excitation parameters and illumination, and uploaded into Image J for analysis of integrated density and area of each cell. To calculate the H3K27me3 intensity, we used the corrected total cell fluorescence (CTCF) formula: CTCF = cell integrated density - (area of the cell x
mean background density) as previously described [32]. The average of eight regions lacking labeling in each image was used as background. This formula compensates for background staining and variation in the level of illumination between the analyzed images. This analysis was done in three different experiments in duplicate.

**In vitro Cell Migration Assay (Scratch Assay)**

The migration capacity of HESC, EEC, and 12Z cells were assessed by Scratch Assay. The cells were seeded (1.25 x 10^5 cells) and cultured in 12 well plates until they reached ~75-80% of confluence. A scratch was performed in the middle of the well using a 100μl sterile pipette tip. The migration capacity of cells was measured in the presence of GSK343 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at two different doses (1μM and 10 μM) or 0.1% DMSO (control vehicle). Photos (20X) were taken at different time-points (0, 24, 48, and 72hrs) after the initial scratch was made using the EVOS FL microscope (Cat No. 6500-FL, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) with an adapted Sony ICX445 CCD camera (1.3 megapixels). Measurement of the open wound area was conducted using automated image analysis software (T-Scratch, CSE Lab, Zurich, Switzerland) that measured the area occupied by the cells in the image [33]. Experiments were conducted three times in duplicate.

**In vitro Cell Proliferation Assay**

Cell proliferation rates of the cells were measured using the BrdU cell proliferation ELISA assay kit (Cat. No 2750, Millipore, Billerica, MA) according the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, cell suspensions (1 x 10^4 cells in 100μL) were added to the wells of a 96-flatbottom microplate in appropriate serum-deprived medium in three technical replicates (3 wells). The proliferation capacity
of the cells was assessed in the presence of GSK343 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at two different concentrations (10μM and 20μM) or 0.1% DMSO (control vehicle) for 24 and 48 hrs at 37°C. Spectrophotometry detection (optical density, OD) was performed at 450nm single wavelength using the BioTek Synergy HT Multimode microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT). Experiments were conducted three times in triplicate.

**Matrigel Cell Invasion Assay**

Cell invasion was measured using BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (Cat No. 354480, Corning, Bedford, MA) following manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cell suspensions [5 x10⁶ cells 500μL of serum deprived media (1% FBS)] was added to the chambers. The invasion capacity of the cells was assessed in the presence of GSK343 at 20μM for 24 hrs vs. 0.1% DMSO (control vehicle). Cells were fixed and stained using Diff-Quick Kit (Cat No. B4132-1A, Allegiance) as described by the manufacturer. The cells were visualized and photographed using the High Intensity LED Light Inverted Nikon Eclipse TS2R-FL microscope at 20X objective (Nikon, Melville, NY) with an adapted camera DS-Ri2 (16.25 megapixel, color, high resolution). Invasion was reported as the mean count of cells invading through the Matrigel. Two technical replicates were conducted in three independent experiments.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California). The mean ± SEM was used to determine differences among the groups. For EZH2 immunostaining analysis, non-parametric statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA-Kruskal-Wallis Test) followed by Dunn Multiple Comparison post-hoc test was conducted. For ChIP-qPCR analysis, Fisher’s
exact test was conducted. For H3K27me3 intensity basal enrichment levels, a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was conducted followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. For changes in H3K27me3 intensity after GSK343 treatment, data were analyzed using a Two-way ANOVA (non-parametric and non-repeated measures because for the experiment we used two independent plates for each time point) followed by a Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. In this analysis, the drug concentration (CV, 1μM, 10μM, and 20μM) and time (24 hrs and 48 hrs) were defined as the variables. For in vitro migration, non-parametric repeated Two-way ANOVA was conducted followed by a Tukey’s multiple comparison test. In this analysis, the drug concentration (CV, 1μM, 10μM) and time (24 hours, 48 hours, and 72hrs) were defined as variables. The data obtained from the TScratch Program was reported as percent (%) of open wound area, and transformed using $y = \sqrt{y}$ before statistical analyses. The proliferation capacity of the cells was assessed using Non-repeated measures Two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. To determine statistical significance of differences in the number of cells invading the Matrigel, we used a Wilcoxon test to compare treatment with 20μM of GSK343 vs. CV. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

**EZH2α is Differentially Expressed in Endometriotic and Endometrial Tissues**

The nuclear immunostaining intensity of EZH2α was analyzed by immunohistochemistry in both glands and stroma of lesions and endometria from patients and controls using an endometriosis-focused TMA. No significant differences in EZH2α immunostaining intensity were observed among lesion types in either glands or stroma. However, a significantly higher intensity staining for EZH2α was observed in the glandular compartment of secretory endometrium from patients (1.00 ± 0.21) compared to proliferative endometrium from patients (0.04 ± 0.04) (p<0.05) (Figure 1). Next, because
no differences between lesions were observed, we grouped all lesions to compare EZH2 α nuclear staining against endometrial samples (Figure 2). We observed a significantly higher intensity of EZH2α immunostaining in the glands of the endometriotic lesions (1.68 ± 0.09) compared to both proliferative endometrium from patients (0.04 ± 0.04, p<0.0001) and controls (0.72 ± 0.25, p<0.05), and to secretory endometrium from controls (0.72 ± 0.19, p<0.05). In the stromal compartment, we detected higher EZH2α nuclear intensity in the endometriotic lesions (1.65 ± 0.09, p<0.05) compared to proliferative endometrium from patients (0.61 ± 0.31, p<0.05). In sum, our findings clearly suggest that there is a loss of EZH2 expression in endometrium from patients and a gain of EZH2 expression in the endometriotic lesions.

Promoter Regions of Endometriosis Candidate Genes are Differentially Enriched with H3K27me3

Using ChIP-qPCR, we observed a differential enrichment of H3K27me3 at promoter regions of candidate genes when comparing endometriotic lesions and endometrial samples from healthy controls. We observed two different groups based on the level of H3K27me3 enrichment at the promoter regions using 10-fold or more enrichment as the threshold (Table 3). ESR1 was the gene with highest level of H3K27me3 enrichment (69%, 9/13), followed by CDH1 (46%, 6/13), and PGR (31%, 4/13). Interestingly, Fisher exact test showed that in cases (endometriotic lesions) the promoter regions of ESR1 and CDH1 genes have significantly (p<0.05) higher H3K27me3 enrichment compared to healthy controls. These genes have been previously reported to be downregulated in lesions [34-36]. SF-1, on the other hand, has been reported to be elevated in endometriosis [37], and in this study 77% (10/13) of the lesions presented a <10-fold H3K27me3 enrichment in its promoter region. Taken together, these results demonstrate that H3K27me3 may be involved in regulating the expression of these endometriosis candidate genes in some, but not all, endometriotic lesions.
Pharmacological Inhibition of EZH2 Decreases the H3K27me3 Enrichment only in Endometriotic Cells

Nuclear levels of H3K27me3 in cell lines were determined by Immunofluorescence (IF), and data reported as CTCF (corrected total cell fluorescence) (Figure 3). At 24hrs, H3K27me3 enrichment levels were significantly different among the cell lines tested. Moreover, the endometriotic epithelial cells (12Z) had significantly higher basal enrichment of H3K27me3 compared only to the non-endometriotic epithelial cell line (EEC) (6374 ± 724.80 vs. 1607 ± 184.10, p<0.0001). At 48 hrs, basal enrichment of H3K27me3 in 12Z cells remained high, but not significantly different compared to the other cell lines tested.

Next, we measured the effects of pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 on the enrichment levels of H3K27me3. Cells were treated with vehicle (CV) or GSK343 (1μM, 10μM, or 20μM) for 24 hrs and 48 hrs. We observed that treatment of the endometriotic epithelial cells (12Z) with GSK343 at 10μM for 48hrs significantly reduced H3K27me3 levels compared to CV (1913.33 ± 392.55 vs. 4677.34 ± 1167.83, p<0.05). Treatment of 12Z cells with GSK343 at 20μM reduced H3K27me3 levels compared to CV at both 24 hrs (3182.69 ± 541.56 vs. 5935.99 ± 538.09, p<0.05) and 48 hrs (1926.23 ± 352.61 vs. 4677.34 ± 1167.83, p<0.05) (Figure 4). However, GSK343 did not significantly reduce the levels of H3K27me3 in the other two cell lines tested (HESC, EEC) (Figure 5, 6). Collectively, these results demonstrate that GSK343 modulates the enrichment levels of H3K27me3 in a specific manner affecting only the endometriotic cells. These findings suggest that this therapeutic option may not have substantial and undesired side effects, although in vivo experiments would need to be conducted to confirm this hypothesis.
Pharmacological Inhibition of EZH2 Impacts Cell Proliferation and Migration but not Invasion of Endometriotic Cells

The role of EZH2 inhibition on the migratory, proliferative, and invasive behaviors of the endometriotic and non-endometriotic cells was assessed using different in vitro assays. First, to determine the effect of EZH2 inhibition on the migratory capacity of the cells, we used Scratch assays (Figure 7). Across the board, 12Z cells exhibited a robust migratory capacity (low % of open wound area) compared to its counterparts. Interestingly, we found that treatment with 10μM GSK343 reduced the migration capacity of 12Z cells compared to CV (43.67 ± 9.02 vs. 22.55 ± 4.68 at 48hrs, p<0.05; 36.51 ± 3.14 vs. 8.74 ± 2.60 at 72hrs, p<0.001). For HESC cells, we also observed that 10μM GSK343 decreased the migration capacity of the cells compared to CV at both 48hrs (24.86 ± 5.57 vs. 8.58 ± 2.57, p<0.001) and 72 hrs (1.63 ± 0.91 vs. 11.84 ± 3.32, p< 0.001), although the highest effect on migration was seen at 48hrs. GSK343 treatment did not modulate the migratory capacity of the EEC cells. In sum, these findings indicate that the drug impacts the migration capacity of the HESC and 12Z cells; however, the effect seems to be higher particularly in the endometriotic cells (12Z).

Next, we elucidated the effect of EZH2 inhibition on the proliferative capacity of the cells by conducting BrdU assays (Figure 8). We observed that treatment with GSK343 significantly diminished the proliferation capacity of the 12Z cells compared to CV [24hrs: 20 μM (0.32 ± 0.03) vs.CV (0.41 ± 0.02), p<0.05; 48hrs: 10μM (0.45 ± 0.01) vs. CV (0.71 ± 0.05), p<0.001; and 20μM (0.42 ± 0.02) vs. CV (0.71 ± 0.05) p<0.0001]. At 24hrs, a borderline significance of decreased proliferation was observed at 10μM compared to CV (0.33 ± 0.03 vs. 0.41 ± 0.02, p=0.053). GSK343 treatment did not have any impact in the proliferative capacity of HESC cells, but decreased the proliferation capacity of EEC cells.
at 24hrs [10μM (0.53 ±0.02) vs. CV (0.88 ± 0.03) p<0.0001 and 20μM (0.43 ± 0.01) vs. CV (0.88 ± 0.03) p<0.0001] and at 48hrs [10μM (0.87 ± 0.03) vs. CV (1.09 ± 0.09) p<0.01 and 20μM (0.70 ± 0.04) vs. CV (1.09 ± 0.09) p<0.0001]. Together, these results suggest that EZH2 may exert an epigenetic control over the cell cycle regulatory process in endometriosis.

Ultimately, to assess the impact of EZH2 inhibition on the invasive capacity of the cell lines, we used Matrigel assays (Figure 9). In these experiments, we measured the inhibitory effects of GSK343 at 20μM on the invasion rates of the cells at 24hrs. 12Z cells had the highest capacity to invade through the extracellular matrix (ECM), but treatment did not modulate their invasiveness [20μM (167.5 ± 7.41) vs. CV (154.5 ± 8.82) p=0.2188]. However, GSK343 treatment decreased the number of HESC [20μM (24.05 ± 3.95) vs. CV (33.65 ± 4.83), p<0.05] and EEC [20μM (3.4 ± 0.82) vs. CV (7.80 ± 0.90) p<0.05] cells invading through the Matrigel. Representative pictures of Matrigel inserts (CV vs 20μM) are shown for visual representation. Collectively, these data demonstrate that 12Z cells are highly invasive compared to its counterparts; however, the 20μM GSK343 dose in particular was not effective in blocking the invasive behavior of the endometriotic cells.

Discussion

The present study was designed to add knowledge to the current evidence supporting a role of the EZH2, and its product, trimethylated H3K27, in endometriosis. First, we elucidated the expression profile of EZH2 in endometriotic lesions and endometria from patients and controls. IHC on an endometriosis TMA was done using an antibody specific for EZH2α, one of the two known variants of the EZH2 locus that result from alternative splicing [38]. We show here that endometriotic lesions have
significantly higher EZH2α nuclear immunostaining compared to endometria, which correlates with our previous findings of increased levels of H3K27me3 in lesions [10]. In strong support of our findings, two recent studies have reported high levels of EZH2 and H3K27me3 staining in lesions compared to endometrium from controls [11, 39]. Taken together, our results and parallel findings from an independent laboratory provide strong evidence of a higher expression of EZH2 in endometriotic tissues. Whether this aberrant expression has biological effects on endometriotic cells specifically was studied next in *vitro*.

Pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 by the histone methyltransferase inhibitor GSK343 has been recognized as a targeted approach to deplete H3K27me3 levels, thus re-setting expression signatures of selected genes in cancer cells [27]. We therefore aimed to assess the inhibiting effect of GSK343 and its specificity for endometriosis. First, we characterized the H3K27me3 basal enrichment levels *in vitro* and observed that the endometriotic epithelial cells 12Z were enriched in H3K27me3 compared to control cell lines, reflecting the high levels of expression of EZH2. Next, we showed that pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 using GSK343 reduced global H3K27me3 levels only in the endometriotic cells. Our findings are in accord with previous studies showing that GSK343 treatment (1μM-20μM) reduces the nuclear enrichment of H3K27me3 in ovarian, cervical, and prostate cancer cells leading to changes in global transcriptomic signatures [22-25]. It also reflects the observation in breast cancer cells that pharmacological blockage of EZH2 is specific to diseased cells, leaving normal cells unaffected [27].

Increased expression of EZH2 in endometriotic lesions is expected to lead to H3K27me3 enrichment at the promoter regions of candidate genes. It has been previously reported that there is
aberrant expression of *ESR1, PGR, HOXA10, CDH1*, and *SF-1* in endometriosis lesions, which has been linked to altered hormonal responses, impaired uterine receptivity, and activation of EMT [40]. The present study uncovers the histone methylation status—for H3K27me3 in particular—at promoter regions of these candidate genes. We observed that a high proportion of lesions were enriched in H3K27me3 at the promoter region of *ESR1, CDH1* and *PGR*. These results indicate that these genes can be regulated by DNA methylation and histone methylation concomitantly. It is worth noting that like DNA methylation, histone methylation does not appear to be a widespread phenomenon, since it is not seen in all the lesions studied [41]. Whether these epigenetic mechanisms are temporally regulated (i.e., present in early lesions, but lost in response to lifetime exposures including previous treatments), or due to the natural heterogeneity of endometriotic tissues will require follow up studies with larger sample sizes obtained from a broad range of patients.

High levels of EZH2, resulting in a H3K27me3 hypermethylation state, have been found to be involved in regulation of cancer-related cellular behaviors (e.g., migration, proliferation, invasion, resistance to apoptosis, angiogenesis) [42-49]. We showed here that GSK343 reduced the proliferative capacity of the endometriotic cells but also of the other epithelial cell line studied, suggesting a cell-type specific effect of this drug. In cancer, it has been demonstrated that CDKIs are downregulated by H3K27me3 [50-52] and that pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 reconstituted their normal expression followed by a concomitant decrease in cell proliferation [53-55]. Treatment with GSK343 also reduced the migration capacity of the endometriotic cells; although to a lesser extent, the endometrial stromal cells were also responsive to treatment. Notably, both cells are highly migratory and both were specifically targeted by the drug. Finally, while we observed that the endometriotic cells invaded more through the Matrigel compared to control cells, pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 did not reduce
their invasiveness. It is possible that higher doses or longer treatment times would be required to see an effect, or combination treatment with DMT inhibitors might be necessary to re-express the silenced genes involved in regulation of invasion in endometriotic cells. Future studies can be directed towards elucidating the role of EZH2 on invasion and whether combinatorial treatments would be more effective. There is already recent evidence for a role of EZH2 in inducing EMT and fibrosis in endometriotic lesions, and blocking EZH2 was shown to reduce migration and invasion of endometriotic cells in vitro, which adds to our current knowledge on the pathways that are relevant for this disease [39]. It would also be important to assess the effects of HMTi in other key cellular behaviors such as apoptosis, angiogenesis, and immune/inflammatory responses.

Moving forward to the potential application of HMT inhibitors for endometriosis, it is critical to assess the effects of these drugs on the eutopic endometrium of the patients. Our immunostaining experiments show an apparent “loss” of EZH2 expression in endometrium from patients vs. a “gain” in the endometriotic lesions. While additional studies are required to understand these findings, we speculate that the chronic inflammatory environment in the peritoneum of women with endometriosis could result in increased levels of EZH2 within ectopic endometrium, as shown before in other inflammatory conditions [56]. EZH2 function has been shown to be regulated by estrogen receptor signaling, and these responses may be differentially activated in ectopic vs. eutopic endometrium based on their pattern of expression of the cognate estrogen receptors [57]. Thus, additional studies are necessary to understand the physiological impact of EZH2 blockage not only in the lesions but also the endometrium.
In sum, this study demonstrated aberrant expression of EZH2 and enrichment of H3K27me3 in candidate genes for endometriosis. Moreover, we demonstrated that pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 by GSK343, a HMT inhibitor already in clinical trials for cancer, decreased H3K27me3 levels in a specific manner, while reducing the proliferative and migratory capacity of the endometriotic cells. These data from our group and others support the notion that EZH2 may be an effective therapeutic target since blocking this enzyme can impact several of the underlying cellular behaviors activated in endometriosis; however, it is important to rule out any global and undesirable effects that may be activated by blocking this epigenetic mechanism in other tissues such as the eutopic endometrium. Therefore, these findings strongly support subsequent pre-clinical studies to determine the in vivo efficacy and safety of EZH2 inhibitors as promising non-hormonal therapeutic options for women with endometriosis, a disease notorious from being refractory to current treatments.
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Figure 1: Immunostaining intensity for EZH2α in endometriotic lesions and endometrium from patients and controls. Immunostaining of EZH2α in glands and stroma was analyzed by three independent scorers using an intensity scale (2=strong, 1=weak, and 0=no staining). Immunostaining was reported as mean intensity ± SEM. No significant differences based on the immunostaining intensity for EZH2α was observed among the different lesions type neither in glands nor stroma. Significant higher intensity of EZH2α was observed in the glands of the secretory endometrium from patients compared to proliferative endometrium from patients (SE-Patients vs. PE Patients p=0.0254).
Figure 2: Immunostaining intensity for EZH2α in endometriotic lesions and endometrium from patients and controls. Immunostaining of EZH2α in glands and stroma was analyzed by three independent scorers using an intensity scale (2=strong, 1=weak, and 0=no staining). Immunostaining was reported as mean intensity ± SEM. Significant higher intensity of EZH2α was observed in the glands and stroma of endometriotic lesions compared to proliferative endometrium (from patients and controls) and secretory endometrium (from patients) (p<0.05). Representative pictures of EZH2α nuclear immunostaining in lesions and endometria from patients with endometriosis (PE-Patients) are shown to demonstrate specificity of the antibody and differential expression.
Figure 3: Basal Enrichment of H3K27me3 in Endometriotic Epithelial Cells (12Z), Non-Endometriotic Stromal Cells (HESC), and Non-Endometriotic Epithelial Cells (EEC). Fluorescent Intensity of H3K27me3 was detected by IF using a specific antibody against H3K27me3. Immunofluorescence Intensity for H3K27me3 was measured at 24hrs and 48hrs using Image-J. H3K27me3 enrichment levels were determined using the CTCF formula. Kruskal-Wallis Test (Non-parametric ANOVA) was used for statistical analysis. Significance was set as p<0.05. Representative pictures of DAPI (blue, which stain the nucleus), RFP (red, which stain H3K27me3 mark in the nucleus) and Merge (DAPI and RFP) are shown to demonstrate the specificity of the antibody.
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Figure 4: Effect of EZH2 Pharmacological Inhibition in the Enrichment of H3K27me3 in Endometriotic Epithelial Cells (12Z). Cells were treated with GSK343 (1μM, 10μM, and 20μM) for 24hrs and 48hrs, respectively. Fluorescent Intensity of H3K27me3 was detected by IF using a specific antibody against H3K27me3. Immunofluorescence Intensity for H3K27me3 was measured at 48hrs using Image J. H3K27me3 enrichment levels was determined using the CTCF formula. Low enrichment levels of H3K27me3 were detected when cells were treated with 20μM at 24hrs (p<0.05 compared to CV) and 10μM and 20μM at 48hrs (p<0.05 compared to CV). Two-way Anova Test followed by Tukey Post-hoc analysis was used for statistical analysis. Significance was set as p<0.05. Representative pictures of DAPI (blue, which stain the nucleus), RFP (red, which stain H3K27me3 mark in the nucleus) and Merge (DAPI and RFP) are shown to demonstrate the specificity of the antibody and the efficacy of the treatment.
Figure 5

HESC

Corrected Fluorescence OD Units

24hrs 48hrs

CV 1μM 10μM 20μM

24hrs

DAPI

RFP

MERGE

CV 1μM 10μM 20μM

48hrs

DAPI

RFP

MERGE
Figure 5: Effect of EZH2 Pharmacological Inhibition in the Enrichment of H3K27me3 in Non-Endometriotic Stromal Cells (HESC) cells. Cells were treated with GSK343 (1μM, 10μM, and 20μM) for 24hrs and 48hrs, respectively. Fluorescent Intensity of H3K27me3 was detected by IF using a specific antibody against H3K27me3. Immunofluorescence Intensity for H3K27me3 was measured at 48hrs using Image J. H3K27me3 enrichment levels was determined using the CTCF formula. Low enrichment levels of H3K27me3 were detected when cells were treated with 20μM at 24hrs (p<0.05 compared to CV) and 10μM and 20μM at 48hrs (p<0.05 compared to CV). Two-way Anova Test followed by Tukey Post-hoc analysis was used for statistical analysis. Significance was set as p<0.05. Representative pictures of DAPI (blue, which stain the nucleus), RFP (red, which stain H3K27me3 mark in the nucleus) and Merge (DAPI and RFP) are shown to demonstrate the specificity of the antibody and the efficacy of the treatment.
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**Figure 6: Effect of EZH2 Pharmacological Inhibition in the Enrichment of H3K27me3 in Non-Endometriotic Epithelial Cells (EEC) cells.** Cells were treated with GSK343 (1μM, 10μM, and 20μM) for 24hrs and 48hrs, respectively. Fluorescent Intensity of H3K27me3 was detected by IF using a specific antibody against H3K27me3. Immunofluorescence Intensity for H3K27me3 was measured at 48hrs using Image J. H3K27me3 enrichment levels was determined using the CTCF formula. Low enrichment levels of H3K27me3 were detected when cells were treated with 20μM at 24hrs (p<0.05 compared to CV) and 10μM and 20μM at 48hrs (p<0.05 compared to CV). Two-way Anova Test followed by Tukey Post-hoc analysis was used for statistical analysis. Significance was set as p<0.05. Representative pictures of DAPI (blue, which stain the nucleus), RFP (red, which stain H3K27me3 mark in the nucleus) and Merge (DAPI and RFP) are shown to demonstrate the specificity of the antibody and the efficacy of the treatment.
Figure 7: Effect of EZH2 Inhibition in the Migration Capacity of Human Endometriotic Epithelial Cells (12Z) and Endometrial Cells Treated with GSK343. Different concentrations of GSK-343 (1μM and 10μM) were added to the cells for 72hrs. Data was normalized against 0hrs. Experiments were conducted in duplicate (3 replicates per condition per plate). The % of open wound area of the cells was determined using T-Scratch software. Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was conducted for statistical analysis. Significance was set as p<0.05. Representative pictures of the Migration Capacity of Human Endometriotic Epithelial Cells (12Z) treated with GSK343. The pictures were taken at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hours using an inverted microscope (EVOS-FL). Pictures are presented in the format in which the program (T-Scratch Software) denotes the open wound area of the cells.
Figure 8:

A) Effect of EZH2 inhibitor, GSK343, in the proliferation rates of endometriotic and non-endometriotic cells. BrdU analysis was performed in the human endometrial stromal cells (HESC) and the human non-endometriotic (EEC) and endometriotic (12Z) epithelial cells treated with GSK343 for 24hrs and 48hrs. At 24hrs, the proliferative rate of EEC cells significantly decreased at 10uM (relative to 0uM; p< 0.0001\textsuperscript{a}) and 20uM (relative to 0uM; p<0.0001\textsuperscript{b} and relative to at 10uM p=0.005\textsuperscript{c}). For 12Z
cells, the proliferative rate significantly decreased at 20uM (relative to 0uM; p<0.05\textsuperscript{a}) and a borderline significance decreased was observed at 10uM (p=0.0553\textsuperscript{a}). At 48hrs, the proliferative rate of EEC cells significantly decreased at 10uM (relative to 0uM; p= 0.0019\textsuperscript{c}) and 20uM (relative to 0uM; p<0.0001\textsuperscript{b} and relative to at 10uM; p<0.05\textsuperscript{#}). For 12Z cells, the proliferative rate significantly decreased at 10uM (relative to 0uM; p=0.0003\textsuperscript{c}) and 20uM (relative to 0uM; p<0.0001\textsuperscript{d}). Normalization was done by subtracting the blank (medium only). Experiments were performed in triplicate. Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test was conducted to determine statistical analysis. Significance was set as p<0.05.
B) Effect of EZH2 inhibitor, GSK343, in the invasiveness of endometriotic (12Z) and non-endometriotic (HESC and EEC) cells. Matrigel assay was performed in the human endometrial stromal cells (HESC), non endometriotic epithelial cells (EEC) and endometriotic epithelial cells (12Z). Experiments were performed in triplicate (two technical replicates by each experiment). Wilcoxon test was conducted to determine statistical analysis. Significance was set as p<0.05. Representative pictures of the Invasion Capacity of HESC, EEC, and 12Z cells treated with GSK343. The pictures were taken at the end of the experiment at 24 hours using a High Intensity LED Light Inverted Nikon Eclipse TS2R-FL microscope at 20X objective (Nikon, Melville, NY) with an adapted camera DS-Ri2.
Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Study Patients used for ChIP Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt ID</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>ASRM Stage</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Reg Cycle</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>658A</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ovarian endometriosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>741A</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Endometrioma</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Secretory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>741B</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ovarian endometriosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Secretory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>766</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Peritoneal Endometriosis</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>837</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ovarian endometriosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Secretory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>940</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ovarian endometriosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ovarian endometriosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Endometrioma</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025A</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Right Ovarian endometriosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1141</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ovarian endometriosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1260</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Peritoneal Endometriosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1263</td>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Ovarian endometriosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt ID</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>ASRM Stage</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Cycle Day</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N014</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N016</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N018</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N020</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N024</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N027</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Proliferative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N009</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mid Secretory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N015</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mid Secretory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N030</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Mid Secretory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N032</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mid Secretory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N037</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Mid Secretory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N045</td>
<td>Health Control</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mid Secretory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Product Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDH1</td>
<td>CDH1-R</td>
<td>GAGTCACCCGGGTTCCATCTA</td>
<td>CDH1-F</td>
<td>GTGTGGGGAAGCTTCCTCT</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR1</td>
<td>ESR-1 R</td>
<td>GAAGGCCATTGGCAGCTCTGA</td>
<td>ESR-1 F</td>
<td>TCTATGGGATCACCCTCA</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOXA10</td>
<td>HOXA10-R</td>
<td>CTGCCCTCACTTTCCAAGTA</td>
<td>HOXA10-F</td>
<td>GCTAAGCCGATGCTTGG</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOX9</td>
<td>HOX9-R</td>
<td>CCCATGATCCACGTAAGTG</td>
<td>HOX9-F</td>
<td>CGTCCCCAACACACCTCTT</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR5A1</td>
<td>NR5A1-R</td>
<td>CTATACCCACTTCAGGCTG</td>
<td>NR5A1-F</td>
<td>GCAGTCCCGTCTGAGTCTTC</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGR_Mn</td>
<td>PGR_Mn-R</td>
<td>ACACCTTGGCTGAAGTTTG</td>
<td>PGR_Mn-F</td>
<td>CGGGGGACAGAAGCTG</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: H3K27me3 enrichment in promoter regions of candidate genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Tissue Type</th>
<th>10-Fold Enrichment or more</th>
<th>Less than 10-Fold Enrichment</th>
<th>Missing (No Ct Value)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGR</td>
<td>Endometriotic Lesions</td>
<td>4/13 (31%)</td>
<td>9/13</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy Controls</td>
<td>4/12</td>
<td>8/12</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOXA10</td>
<td>Endometriotic Lesions</td>
<td>3/13 (13%)</td>
<td>9/13</td>
<td>1/13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy Controls</td>
<td>3/12</td>
<td>9/12</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOXA9</td>
<td>Endometriotic Lesions</td>
<td>2/13 (13%)</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy Controls</td>
<td>1/12</td>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDH1</td>
<td>Endometriotic Lesions</td>
<td>6/13 (46%)</td>
<td>7/13</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy Controls</td>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>1/12</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR1</td>
<td>Endometriotic Lesions</td>
<td>9/13 (69%)</td>
<td>4/13</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy Controls</td>
<td>3/12</td>
<td>9/12</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR5A1</td>
<td>Endometriotic Lesions</td>
<td>3/13 (13%)</td>
<td>10/13</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy Controls</td>
<td>3/12</td>
<td>9/12</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fischer's exact test to determine associations between groups (cases and controls) and H3K27me3 enrichment. Statistical significance was set as p<0.05.